Appln No. 10/685,455 Aundt. Dated February 18, 2005 Response to Office action of January 25, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Applicant has amended Pages 1 and 2 of the Specification and the co-peniing application numbers where applicable. The Applicant submits that these amendments introduce no new matter.

Claims

Phe Examiner rejected claims [135]. By the present amendment claims hand 22 has been amended and claims [161] and 32,33 have been cancelled. Therefore claims [15] [8] 1, and 34-35 are pending in the present application [32].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-35 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable overtwariouser combinations of Wolff et al (US Patent 6,081,261) in view of Patterson, Jr. et al (US Patent 5,797,002) in view of Bennett et al (US Patent 5,051,736) and in view of official notice. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Examiner appears to have accepted the applicants' previous arguments distinguishing the present claims from Wolff et al in view of Patterson, Jr. et al. However the Examiner conducted a further search and now again rejects all of the pending claims by adding the reference to Bennett et al.

The Applicants assert that the Bennet et al. reference in combination with the previous references still does not teach the claimed invention. Bennet et al disclose a transparent plastic or glass tablet including various layers (40, 42) on which are inscribed position indicating coordinates. The claimed invention however combines much of the functionality described in the three references cited by the Examiner in individual coded sheets of paper called netpages, which are very different from the devices and methods described in the cited references. The transparent plastic or glass tablet including various layers (40, 42) on which are inscribed position indicating coordinates of Bennet et al., is not analogous and does not suggest the invisible coded data of the presently amended claims that are printed substantially simultaneously with visible information on a paper form.

The Applicants have not objected to the official notice of the Examiner that simply printing coded data on a surface, which data are substantially invisible in the visible spectrum, is well-known in the art. However, the present amended claims do not claim the simple act of printing invisible coded data; rather, the presently amended claims include the limitation that "the visible information and the invisible coded data are printed substantially simultaneously and wherein at the time of printing a computer system associates the type and spatial extent of the coded data with the spatial extent of at least some of the visible information." The Applicants assert that such automatic association between visible information and invisible coded data is novel and non-obvious over all of the prior art and the official notice cited by the Examiner.

PAGE 10/12 * RCVD AT 2/17/2005 9:42:54 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/3 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:95557762 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-14

Appln No. 10/685,455 Amdt. Dated February 13, 2005 Response to Office action of January 25, 2005

9

Wolff et al cannot disclose or suggest the above limitation because the spatial extension is coded data of Wolff et al is only obtained through use of position-indicating gyrosection accelerometers. Patterson, Jr. et al cannot disclose or suggest the above limitation because the forms of Patterson, Jr. et al are only electronic forms and are not printed. Bennet et al cannot disclose or suggest the above limitation because the fact that the tablet including the particular various layers (40, 42) of Bennet et al is physically separate from any sheets including the provisible information, means that at the time of printing visible information liber, cannot be an at the fire of printing visible information between the spatial extent of the coded data on the layers (40, 42) and the pasted description of the present amendment distinguists she liberation present invention over other program of recordificat is not relied upon such as the reference of the code of the present invention over other program of the code of the present invention over other program of the code of the pasted of the code of the

The Applicants assert that the claim limitations added by the present amendment define significant and valuable commercial advantages over the prior art, which advantages are clearly recited in the specification. For example, the specification as filed at page 11, lines 22-23, states that interactive pages of the present invention "... are pages of text, graphics and images printed on ordinary paper, but which work like interactive web pages." The conveniences of such interactive pages—which can be printed quickly by a single printer—were not possible using the systems and methods of the prior art.

Support for the present amendments is found throughout the specification as originally filed. For example, the substantially simultaneous printing of visible inks and invisible infrared inks is found in the specification as filed at page 26, lines 6-8: "This printer simultaneously prints cyan, magenta, yellow, black, and infrared inks as well as paper conditioner and ink fixative." Further, support for the limitation concerning the association in the computer system of the spatial extent of the visible information and the invisible coded data is found in the specification as filed at page 12, lines 4-11: "The netpage consists of graphic data 2 printed using visible ink, and coded data 3 printed as a collection of tags 4 using invisible ink. The corresponding page description 5, stored on the netpage network, describes the individual elements of the netpage. In particular it describes the type and spatial extent (zone) of each interactive element (i.e. text field or button in the example), to allow the netpage system to correctly interpret input via the netpage. The submit button 6, for example, has a zone 7 which corresponds to the spatial extent of the corresponding graphic 8."

The Applicants assert that the rejections of the remaining dependent claims are now moot in light of the above amendments to the independent claims. Thus the Applicants believe that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance of the application is courteously solicited.

Very respectfully,

Applicant:

PAUL LAPSTUN

PAGE 11/12 * RCVD AT 2/17/2005 9:42:54 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/3 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:95557762 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-14

Appln No. 10/685,455 Amdt. Dated February 18, 2005 Response to Office action of January 25, 2005

10

ACQUELINE ANNE LAPSTUN

KLA SILVERBROOK Silverbrook Research Pry Ltd. 393 Darling Street

baimain 175 w 2041 Austrain

ul: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookre

Facsimile + +61 2 9555 7762

Ti Carsi de la Carsi de la Silverbacción de Priy India Silverbacción de Priy India Seudopario de la Carsi de la Ca

THE STATE OF THE S

PAGE 12/12 * RCVD AT 2/17/2005 9:42:54 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/3 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:95557762 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-14